

**stichting
mathematisch
centrum**



AFDELING TOEGEPASTE WISKUNDE
(DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS)

TW 196/80 JANUARI

J. GRASMAN

ON A CLASS OF NEARLY SINGULAR OPTIMAL
CONTROL PROBLEMS

2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).

On a class of nearly singular optimal control problems

by

J. Grasman

ABSTRACT

For a class of linear singular optimal control problems with a non-unique singular arc, the solution of the corresponding nearly singular problem is analyzed and a limit solution based on formal singular perturbations is derived. The result is verified by using an asymptotic power series expansion satisfying the Riccati equation of the nearly singular problem.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: *Cheap control, singular perturbation*

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the class of linear, time-invariant, n -dimensional dynamical systems

$$(1.1ab) \quad \dot{x} = Ax + Bv, \quad x(0) = x_0$$

with performance index

$$(1.1c) \quad J = \int_0^{\infty} x'Qx + \varepsilon^2 v'Rv \, dt, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1,$$

where Q is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix and R is symmetric and positive definite. We denote the n -dimensional state space by X . The control vector takes its values in the linear n -dimensional space U and $v(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow U$ is assumed to be a piece-wise continuous mapping. In this paper we analyze the problem of perfect regulation for a class of cheap optimal control problems of the type (1.1). For $\varepsilon = 0$ (1.1) reduces to a singular optimal control problem, which, as it is shown in [3], may have a family of solutions. As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the solution of (1.1) will tend to one of these solutions. In order to formulate such a class of singular problems in terms of A, B and Q we introduce some concepts of geometric system theory in section 2. For a more extensive exposition we refer to WONHAM [10]. In section 3 we specify the class of problems (1.1) to which our investigations apply and carry out some transformations in order to bring the system in its most suitable form. In section 4, a formal method for selecting the appropriate singular solution is presented, while in the sections 5 and 6 we prove the correctness of the result by perturbing the solution of (1.1) with respect to ε . It is remarked that the convergence of x satisfying (1.1) for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ can also be proved by analyzing its Laplace transform see FRANCIS [1,2].

2. SOME CONCEPTS OF GEOMETRIC SYSTEM THEORY

Before giving a definition of controllability subspaces we introduce

the concept of (A,B) -invariant subspaces.

DEFINITION 2.1. A subspace $V \subset X$ is called (A,B) -invariant if for any $x_0 \in V$ there exists a control $u(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow U$ such that $x(t)$ satisfying (1.1ab) remains in V for $t > 0$.

Let $B = \text{Im} B$. It can be proved that (A,B) -invariant subspaces may be characterized by the property $AV \subset V + B$, or, equivalently, by the existence of a family of feedbacks

$$(2.1) \quad \underline{F}(V) = \{F: X \rightarrow U \mid (A+BF)V \subset V\},$$

so that the closed loop system that starts V remains in V for $t > 0$. The class of (A,B) -invariant subspaces contained in some subspace of X is closed under addition and, thus, has a supremal element, see [10]. In the sequel we denote the supremal (A,B) -invariant subspace contained in $K = \text{Ker} Q$ by V_K^* .

DEFINITION 2.2. A subspace $R \subset X$ is called a controllability subspace if for any $x_0, x_1 \in R$ there exists a $T > 0$ and a $u(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow U$ such that $x(t)$ given by (1.1ab) satisfies $x(T) = x_1$ and $x(t) \in R$ for $0 < t < T$.

Clearly, a controllability subspace is also (A,B) -invariant. Given a subspace $B_0 \subset X$ and a mapping $A_F: X \rightarrow X$, we define the subspace $R_0 \subset X$ by

$$(2.2) \quad R_0 = \langle A_F | B_0 \rangle \equiv B_0 + A_F B_0 + \dots + A_F^{n-1} B_0.$$

It can be shown that R is a controllability subspace if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad R = \langle A+BF | B \cap R \rangle \quad \text{for } F \in \underline{F}(R).$$

Furthermore, the class of controllability subspaces contained in some subspace of X is closed under addition and, thus, has a supremal element. The supremal controllability subspace contained in $K = \text{Ker} Q$ we denote by R_K^* . It can be proved that

$$(2.4) \quad R_K^* = \langle A+BF | B \cap V_K^* \rangle \quad \text{for } F \in \underline{F}(V_K^*).$$

3. THE NEARLY SINGULAR OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEM

For the class of problems (1.1) we assume that

$$(3.1) \quad X = K + B.$$

Furthermore, it is supposed that $R_K^* \neq 0$ as this property characterizes the class of problems we are aiming at, while condition (3.1) is meant as a restriction to focus our attention to a representative subclass for which the limit problem has a non-unique solution. The present study can be seen as the counterpart of the work by O'Malley and Jameson [8,9], where implicitly $R_K^* = 0$. Since $AK \subset X = K + B$, we have that $V_K^* = K$ (see section 2). Let $\kappa = \dim K$. We assume that the state space X is the span of n basis vectors e_1, \dots, e_n chosen in such a way that K is the span of last κ of them. The control space U is the span of m basis vectors d_1, \dots, d_m chosen in such way that $B^{-1}e_1, \dots, B^{-1}e_{n-\kappa}$ has the same span as the first $n-\kappa$ basis vectors d_1 , so

$$(3.2a) \quad K = \{x | x \in X, x_1 = \dots = x_{n-\kappa} = 0\}$$

and

$$(3.2b) \quad B^{-1}K = \{v | v \in U, v_1 = \dots = v_{n-\kappa} = 0\},$$

where B^{-1} denotes the functional inverse of B , see [10, p.6]. By regular mappings $H: X \rightarrow X$ and $G: U \rightarrow U$ any system (A, B, Q, R) can be transformed into a system $(H^{-1}AH, H^{-1}BG, H'QH, G'RG)$ of the required form. Note that $H'QH$ and $G'RG$ are symmetric and positive (semi-)definite.

Consequently, we may restrict ourselves to systems (1.1) of the form

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_s \\ \dot{x}_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_s & A_{sk} \\ A_{ks} & A_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_s \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_s & 0 \\ 0 & B_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_s \\ v_k \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying (3.2). It is noted that because of (3.1) B_s is one to one.

For the control vector we write

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} v_s \\ v_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B_s^{-1} A_{sk} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_s \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u_s \\ u_k \end{pmatrix},$$

so that (1.1) becomes

$$(3.5ab) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_s \\ \dot{x}_k \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A_s & 0 \\ A_{ks} & A_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_s \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_s & 0 \\ 0 & B_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_s \\ u_k \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_s(0) \\ x_k(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{s0} \\ x_{k0} \end{pmatrix}$$

with performance index

$$(3.5c) \quad J = \int_0^\infty (x'_s, x'_k) \begin{pmatrix} Q_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_s \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon^2 \left[(x'_s, x'_k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_s \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} + 2(x'_s, s'_k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ N_0 & N_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_s \\ u_k \end{pmatrix} + (u'_s, u'_k) \begin{pmatrix} R_s & R'_{us} \\ R_{ks} & R_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_s \\ u_k \end{pmatrix} \right] dt,$$

where $M_k = (B_s^{-1} A_{sk})' R B_s^{-1} A_{sk}$, $N_k = -(B_s^{-1} A_{sk})' R'_{ks}$ and $N_{ks} = (B_s^{-1} A_{sk})' R_s$. In the sequel we denote by A, B, Q, M, N and R the mappings of (3.5). About these mappings we make the following hypotheses. Let G, C_k and D_k be such that $GG' = Q$, $C_k C_k' = R_k^{-1}$ and $D_k D_k' = M_k$. Then

- (H3.1) the pair (A, B) is stabilizable
- (H3.2) the pair (G, A) is detectable
- (H3.3) the pair $(A_k - B_k R_k^{-1} N'_k, B_k C_k)$ is stabilizable
- (H3.4) the pair $(D'_k - C'_k N'_k, A_k - B_k R_k^{-1} N'_k)$ is detectable.

It is known that under the assumptions (H3.1) and (H3.2), (3.5) has an optimal solution with

$$(3.6a) \quad u = -\varepsilon^{-2} R^{-1} (B' P_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 N') x,$$

where P_ϵ is the unique positive semi-definite symmetric solution of the algebraic Riccati equation

$$(3.6b) \quad P_\epsilon (A - BR^{-1}N') + (A - BR^{-1}N')' P_\epsilon - \epsilon^{-2} P_\epsilon BR^{-1}B'P_\epsilon + Q + \epsilon^2 (M - NR^{-1}N') = 0.$$

4. THE FORMAL LIMIT SOLUTION

Since the cost of control is small, it is expected that by some appropriately chosen initial pulse the solution will tend rapidly to the subspace K . In order to analyze this behaviour we carry out the following transformations

$$(4.1) \quad u = \hat{u}/\epsilon, \quad t = \tau\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad J = \hat{J}\epsilon.$$

Substituting (4.1) into (3.5) and formally letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$(4.2a) \quad d\hat{x}/d\tau = B\hat{u}$$

$$(4.2b) \quad \hat{J} = \int_0^\infty \hat{x}' Q \hat{x} + \hat{u}' R \hat{u} \, d\tau.$$

We consider the feedback

$$(4.3a) \quad \hat{u} = R^{-1} B' \hat{P} \hat{x}$$

with \hat{P} satisfying

$$(4.3b) \quad \hat{P} B R^{-1} B' \hat{P} = Q.$$

Partitioning the inverse of R as

$$(4.4) \quad R^{-1} = T = \begin{pmatrix} T_s & T'_{ks} \\ T_{ks} & T_k \end{pmatrix},$$

we write the unique positive semidefinite solution of (4.3b) as

$$(4.5a) \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} P_{s0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $P_{s0} > 0$ satisfying

$$(4.5b) \quad P_{s0} B_s^T B_s' P_{s0} = Q_s.$$

The corresponding closed loop system reads

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\hat{x}}_s / d\tau \\ \dot{\hat{x}}_k / d\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -B_s^T B_s' P_{s0} & 0 \\ -B_k^T B_k' P_{s0} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}_s \\ \hat{x}_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Integration yields

$$(4.7a) \quad \hat{x}_s(\tau) = e^{-B_s^T B_s' P_{s0} \tau} x_{s0},$$

$$(4.7b) \quad \hat{x}_k(\tau) = x_{k0} - \int_0^\tau B_k^T B_k' P_{s0} \hat{x}_s(\bar{\tau}) d\bar{\tau}.$$

It is noted that $B_s^T B_s' P_{s0} = P_{s0}^{-1} Q_s$ is positive definite. Consequently, as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ $\hat{x}_s \rightarrow 0$ and $\hat{x}_k \rightarrow x_{k0} - \xi_{k0}$ with

$$(4.8) \quad \xi_{k0} = B_k^T B_k' P_{s0}^{-1} x_{s0}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we observe that at the initial point the solution jumps from (x_{s0}, x_{k0}) to $(0, x_{k0} - \xi_{k0})$. Once the solution is in the subspace K it remains there as K is A invariant for (3.5). The performance index will be zero as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any feedback $u_k = F_k x_k$. For the purpose of selecting the appropriate feedback we consider the optimal control problem for x_k given by (3.5ac) with $x_s = 0$ for $t > 0$:

$$(4.9ab) \quad \dot{\bar{x}}_k = A_k \bar{x}_k + B_k \bar{u}_k, \quad \bar{x}_k(0) = x_{k0} - \xi_{k0}$$

$$(4.9c) \quad \bar{J} = \int_0^\infty \bar{x}_k' M_k \bar{x}_k + 2 \bar{x}_k' N_k \bar{u}_k + \bar{u}_k' R_k \bar{u}_k dt.$$

From (H3.2) and (H3.3) it follows that an optimal solution exists with

$$(4.10) \quad \bar{u}_k = -R_k^{-1} (B_k' P_k + N_k') \bar{x}_k,$$

where \bar{P}_k is the unique positive semi-definite solution of the algebraic Riccati equation

$$(4.10b) \quad \bar{P}_k (A_k - B_k R_k^{-1} N_k') + (A_k - B_k R_k^{-1} N_k')' \bar{P}_k - \bar{P}_k B_k R_k^{-1} B_k' \bar{P}_k + (M_k - N_k R_k^{-1} N_k') = 0,$$

see KUCERA [4]. Thus, the optimal solution reads

$$(4.11) \quad \bar{x}_k(t) = e^{(A_k - B_k R_k^{-1} B_k' \bar{P}_k - B_k R_k^{-1} N_k')t} (x_{k0} - \xi_{k0}).$$

REMARK. It is not obvious that $x_k(t, \epsilon) \rightarrow x_k(t)$ for $t \geq \delta > 0$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, as \bar{x}_k follows from the order $O(\epsilon^2)$ terms of the performance index. Since $x_s = O(\epsilon)$, x_s is also present with terms of order $O(\epsilon^2)$, so before hand it is not clear that the system can be decomposed in the above way.

5. ASYMPTOTIC SOLUTION OF THE RICCATI EQUATION

Let us assume that the positive semi-definite solution of the algebraic Riccati equation (3.6b) can be expanded as

$$(5.1) \quad P_\epsilon = \epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^{(j)} \epsilon^j, \quad P^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{sj} & P_{ksj}' \\ P_{ksj} & P_{kj} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substitution of (5.1) into (3.6b) yields, by setting $\epsilon = 0$, $P^{(0)} = \hat{P}$ with \hat{P} given by (4.5). Equating the coefficients of the terms to ϵ we obtain

$$(5.2) \quad P_{s0} A_s + A_s' P_{s0} - P_{s1} B_s^T B_s' P_{s0} - P_{s0} B_s^T B_s' P_{s1} = 0$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad -P_{s0} B_s^T N_{ks}' - P_{s0} B_s^T N_{sk}' - P_{s0} B_s^T B_{ks}' P_{ks1}' - P_{s0} B_s^T B_{sk}' P_{k1} = 0.$$

Since $P_{s0} B_s > 0$ (5.3) is equivalent to

$$(5.4) \quad T_{s'ks} N'_k + T_{sk} N'_k + T_{s's} B'P'_{ks1} + T_{sk} B'P'_{k1} = 0.$$

Equating the terms of $O(\epsilon^2)$ we obtain the equation

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & -P_{ks1} B' T_{s's} N'_k - P_{ks1} B' T_{sk} N'_k + P_{k1} A_k - P_{k1} B' T_{k'ks} N'_k - P_{k1} B' T_{k'k} N'_k \\ & - N_{ks} T_{s's} B'P'_{ks1} - N_{k'ks} T_{s's} B'P'_{ks1} + A_k P_{k1} - N_{ks} T_{k'ks} B'P'_{k1} - N_{k'k} T_{k'k} B'P'_{k1} \\ & - P_{ks1} B' T_{s's} B'P'_{ks1} - P_{ks1} B' T_{sk} B'P'_{k1} - P_{k1} B' T_{k'ks} B'P'_{ks1} - P_{k1} B' T_{k'k} B'P'_{k1} \\ & + M_k - N_{ks} T_{s's} N'_k - N_{ks} T_{sk} N'_k - N_{k'ks} T_{k'ks} N'_k - N_{k'k} T_{k'k} N'_k = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.4) we derive that

$$(5.6) \quad R_k^{-1} = T_k - T_{ks} T_{s's}^{-1} T'_{ks}.$$

Using (5.4) and (5.6) we reduce equation (5.5) to

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & P_{k1} [A_k - B_k R_k^{-1} N'_k] + [A_k - B_k R_k^{-1} N'_k]' P_{k1} + \\ & - P_{k1} B_k R_k^{-1} B'_k P_{k1} + M_k - N_k R_k^{-1} N'_k = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which has a unique positive semi-definite solution $P_{k1} = \bar{P}_k$ see (4.10b).

This iteration process can be continued to yield uniquely determined coefficients $P^{(j)}$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$.

6. THE SINGULARLY PERTURBED CLOSED LOOP SYSTEM

Substitution of (3.6a) and (5.1) into (3.5ab) gives the closed loop system

$$(6.1ab) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_s \\ \dot{x}_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} C_{ss}(\epsilon) & C_{sk}(\epsilon) \\ \epsilon^{-1} C_{ks}(\epsilon) & C_{kk}(\epsilon) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_s \\ x_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_s(0) \\ x_k(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{s0} \\ x_{k0} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\epsilon^{-1}C_{ss}(\epsilon) = A_s - \epsilon^{-2}B_s^T B_s' P_{ss} - \epsilon^{-2}B_s^T B_{sk}' P_{ks\epsilon},$$

$$\epsilon^{-1}C_{ks}(\epsilon) = A_{ks} - \epsilon^{-2}B_k^T B_{ks}' P_{ss} - \epsilon^{-2}B_k^T B_{kk}' P_{ks\epsilon},$$

$$C_{sk}(\epsilon) = -\epsilon^{-2}B_s^T B_{sk}' P_{ks\epsilon} - B_s^T N_{ks}' - \epsilon^{-2}B_s^T B_{sk}' P_{kk\epsilon} - B_s^T N_{sk}',$$

$$C_{kk}(\epsilon) = A_k - \epsilon^{-2}B_k^T B_{ks}' P_{ks\epsilon} - B_k^T N_{ks}' - \epsilon^{-2}B_k^T B_{kk}' P_{kk\epsilon} - B_k^T N_{kk}'.$$

THEOREM 6.1. Let $(x_s(t), x_k(t))$ be the solution of (6.1ab), then

$$(6.2) \quad \left| \begin{pmatrix} x_s(t) \\ x_k(t) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}_s(t/\epsilon) \\ \hat{x}_k(t/\epsilon) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{x}_k(t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x_{k0} - \xi_{k0} \end{pmatrix} \right| = O(\epsilon)$$

for $t \geq 0$ with \hat{x}_s , \hat{x}_k , \bar{x}_k and ξ_{k0} given by (4.7)-(4.11).

PROOF. Since all eigenvalues of (6.1a) have negative real parts, see KWAKERNAAK and SIVAN [5, p.233], $|x_s|$ and $|x_k|$ have upperbounds of order $O(1)$. Integration of the equation for x_s yields

$$(6.3) \quad x_s(t) = e^{\epsilon^{-1}C_{ss}(\epsilon)t} x_{s0} + \int_0^t e^{\epsilon^{-1}C_{ss}(\epsilon)(t-\tau)} C_{sk}(\epsilon) x_k(\tau) d\tau$$

or

$$(6.4) \quad x_s(t) = e^{\epsilon^{-1}C_{ss}(0)t} x_{s0} + O(\epsilon).$$

We now introduce the dependent variable

$$(6.5) \quad x_r = x_k - C_{ks}(\epsilon) C_{ss}^{-1}(\epsilon) x_s.$$

From (6.1a) we derive the corresponding differential equation

$$\dot{x}_r = [C_{kk}(\epsilon) - C_{ks}(\epsilon) C_{ss}^{-1}(\epsilon) C_{sk}(\epsilon)] \{x_r + C_{ks}(\epsilon) C_{ss}^{-1}(\epsilon) x_s\}.$$

From (6.3) it follows that x_s is of the order $O(\epsilon)$ in the L_1 norm, so that

$$(6.6) \quad x_r(t) = e^{[C_{kk}(\epsilon) - C_{ks}(\epsilon)C_{ss}^{-1}(\epsilon)C_{sk}(\epsilon)]t} \{x_{k0} - C_{ks}(\epsilon)C_{ss}^{-1}(\epsilon)x_{s0}\} + O(\epsilon).$$

Substitution of (6.3) and (6.6) into (6.5) yields

$$(6.7) \quad x_k(t) = e^{[C_{kk}(0) - C_{ks}(0)C_{ss}^{-1}(0)C_{sk}(0)]t} \{x_{k0} - C_{ks}(0)C_{ss}^{-1}(0)x_{s0}\} + \\ - C_{ks}(0)C_{ss}^{-1}(0)e^{\epsilon^{-1}C_{ss}(0)t} x_{s0} + O(\epsilon).$$

It is noted that

$$(6.8) \quad C_{ks}(0)C_{ss}^{-1}(0) = B_k^T T_{ks} T_s^{-1} B_s^{-1},$$

so that

$$(6.9) \quad C_{kk}(0) - C_{ks}(0)C_{ss}^{-1}(0)C_{sk}(0) = A_k - B_k R_k^{-1} B_k' \bar{P}_k - B_k R_k^{-1} N_k'.$$

According to (6.4) and (6.7) x_s and x_k satisfy (6.2), which completes the proof. \square

7. AN EXAMPLE

As an illustration of the method of approximating the solution of a nearly singular system we present the following example

$$(7.1a) \quad \dot{x} = Ax + Bv, \quad x(0) = x_0,$$

$$(7.1b) \quad J = \int_0^\infty x' Q x + \epsilon^2 v' R v \, dt$$

with

$$(7.1c) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Putting (7.1) in the required form (3.5) we obtain

$$(7.2a) \quad \dot{x}_1 = u_1, \quad x_1(0) = x_{10},$$

$$(7.2b) \quad \dot{x}_2 = x_1 + u_2, \quad x_2(0) = x_{20},$$

$$(7.3c) \quad J = \int_0^{\infty} x_1^2 + \varepsilon^2 (x_2^2 - 2x_2 u_1 + u_1^2 + u_2^2) dt.$$

In the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the system jumps initially from (x_{10}, x_{20}) to $(0, x_{20})$, see (4.7) and (4.8). In order to analyze the limit solution in the subspace $x_1 = 0$ for $t > 0$, we consider the optimal control problem (4.9) for the system (7.2), so

$$(7.4a) \quad \dot{\bar{x}}_2 = \bar{u}_0, \quad \bar{x}_2(0) = x_{20},$$

$$(7.4b) \quad J = \int_0^{\infty} \bar{x}_2^2 + \bar{u}_2^2 dt.$$

The optimal solution satisfies $\bar{u}_2 = -\bar{x}_2$, see (4.10). For the problem (7.1) the algebraic Riccati equation reads

$$(7.5) \quad Q + P_{\varepsilon} A' + A' P_{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon^2 P_{\varepsilon} B R^{-1} B' P_{\varepsilon} = 0,$$

which has the positive definite solution

$$(7.6) \quad P_{\varepsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2} & \varepsilon^2 \\ \varepsilon^2 & \varepsilon^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $u_{\varepsilon} = -\varepsilon^{-2} R^{-1} B' P_{\varepsilon} x_{\varepsilon}$, the closed loop system reads

$$(7.7a) \quad \dot{x}_{\varepsilon 1} = -\varepsilon^{-1} \sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2} x_{\varepsilon 1},$$

$$(7.7b) \quad \dot{x}_{\varepsilon 2} = -x_{\varepsilon 2},$$

Consequently, the solution converges to the given limit solution as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Prof. J.C. Willems for some useful remarks and to Dr. J.H. van Schuppen for the clarifying discussions during the preparation of this report.

REFERENCES

- [1] FRANCIS, B.A., *On totally singular linear-quadratic optimal control*, Report R-77-7 Dept. Electr. Engin. McGill Univ. (1977).
- [2] FRANCIS, B.A., *Singularly perturbed linear initial value problems with an application to singular optimal controls*, (preprint).
- [3] GRASMAN, J., *Non-uniqueness in singular optimal control*, Int. Symp. on Math. Theory of Networks and Systems vol.3 (1979), p.415-420.
- [4] KUCERA, V., *A contribution to matrix quadratic equations*, IEEE Trans. on Aut. Control vol. AC-17 (1972), p.344-347.
- [5] KWAKERNAAK, H. & R. SIVAN, *Linear optimal control systems*, Wiley-Interscience, New York (1972).
- [6] MÄRTENSSON, K., *On the matrix Riccati equation*, Information Sci. 3 (1971), p.17-49.
- [7] MOYLAN, P.J. & J.B. MOORE, *Generalizations of singular optimal control theory*, Automatica 7 (1971), p.591-598.
- [8] O'MALLEY, R.E. & A. JAMESON, *Singular perturbations and singular arcs*, part I, IEEE Trans. on Aut. Control, vol. AC-20 (1975), p.218-226.
- [9] O'MALLEY, R.E. & A. JAMESON, *Singular perturbations and singular arcs*, part II, IEEE Trans. on Aut. Control, vol. AC-22 (1977), p.328-337.
- [10] WONHAM, W.M., *Linear multivariable control: A geometric approach*, Lecture Notes in Econ. and Math. Systems vol.101 (1974).